USDC SDNY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX		DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:	
	:	DATE FILED: _	5/20/2019
TRUSTEES OF THE NEW YORK CITY :			
DISTRICT COUNCIL OF CARPENTI			
PENSION FUND, WELFARE FUND,			
ANNUITY FUND, AND APPRENTICESHIP,:		No. 17-CV-2193 (V	VSB) (BCM)
JOURNEYMAN RETRAINING,	:		
EDUCATIONAL AND INDUSTRY FUND, :		<u>ORDER</u>	
et al.,	:		
	:		
Petitioners, :			
	:		
-V-	:		
	:		
	:		
GENRUS CORP.,	:		
	:		
Respo	ondent. :		
	:		
	X		

VERNON S. BRODERICK, United States District Judge:

On March 27, 2017, Petitioners Trustees of the New York City District Council of Carpenters Pension Fund, Welfare Fund, Annuity Fund, and Apprenticeship, Journeyman Retraining, Educational and Industry Fund; Trustees of the New York City Carpenters Relief and Charity Fund; the New York City and Vicinity Carpenters Labor-Management Corporation; and the New York City District Council of Carpenters (together, "Petitioners") filed a petition to confirm an arbitration award. (Doc. 1.) Respondent Genrus Corp. ("Respondent") failed to respond to the petition or otherwise appear. On July 5, 2017, I referred the unopposed petition to Magistrate Judge Barbara C. Moses for a determination as to liability and damages. (*See* Docs. 8, 9.)

On October 23, 2018, Judge Moses issued a Report and Recommendation advocating that I confirm the arbitration award and, as modified, grant Petitioners' application for attorneys' fees

Case 1:17-cv-02193-VSB-BCM Document 12 Filed 05/20/19 Page 2 of 2

and costs. (Doc. 10.) No objections have been filed, the deadline for objections has passed, and

no request for an extension has been filed. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or

recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). When a party submits

a timely, specific objection, a district court reviews de novo the parts of the report and

recommendation to which the party objected. Id.; see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). With regard

to a report and recommendation that is not objected to, or the unobjected-to portions of a report

and recommendation, a district court reviews the report and recommendation, or the unobjected-

to portion thereof, for clear error. DiPilato v. 7-Eleven, Inc., 662 F. Supp. 2d 333, 339 (S.D.N.Y.

2009); Lewis v. Zon, 573 F. Supp. 2d 804, 811 (S.D.N.Y. 2008); Wilds v. United Parcel Serv.,

Inc., 262 F. Supp. 2d 163, 169 (S.D.N.Y. 2003).

I have reviewed Judge Moses's Report and Recommendation for clear error and find

none. Accordingly, I hereby ADOPT the Report and Recommendation, (Doc. 10), in its entirety.

The arbitration award requiring Respondent to pay Petitioners \$38,284.50, plus simple interest to

accrue at a rate of 5.75% from the date of the award until the date of judgment, is affirmed.

Petitioners are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$850.00. Petitioners are also

entitled to post-judgment interest at the rate provided for by 28 U.S.C. § 1961, from the date of

entry of judgment until it is paid. The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to enter judgment

accordingly and close the case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

May 20, 2019

New York, New York

Vernon S. Broderick

United States District Judge